

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Support for the above amendments can be found from the case as originally filed. In particular, support for restricting component (B) to low density polyethylene is seen at page 5, lines 1-2, for example. "On a differential scanning calorimetry curve" was added to Claim 25 to clarify the existing text and is fully supported for example at page 4, lines 32-33. New claims 26 and 27 are supported by the figure and by page 5, lines 9-14. New claim 28 is supported at page 3, lines 20-21.


Applicant's representative sincerely thanks the Examiner for the courtesy extended during the personal interview conducted on Nov 27th. At that meeting the above amendments were discussed as well as the art of record. The Examiner indicated that the above amendments would appear to overcome the pending rejections.

As none of the prior art references currently of record disclose the specified combination of homogeneously branched linear low density polyethylene, heterogeneously branched linear low density polyethylene and low density polyethylene having the recited melt strength, it is respectfully submitted that the invention as now claimed appears patentable. Accordingly, a prompt notice of allowance is courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 1, 2006

P. O. Box 1967
Midland, MI 48641-1967
smm


James T. Hoppe
Registration No. 35,899
Phone: 979-238-9039